

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,631 12/18/2000		12/18/2000	Christopher Patrick	QCPA990347	5613	
23696	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
QUALCO	-		WANG, TED M			
5775 MORE SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5.11. 2.256, 6.1 ,2.2.				2611		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V

			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/741,631	١	PATRICK, CHRISTOPHER				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Ted M. Wa	ng	2611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE M. CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. SISTERS OF THE M.	AILING DA' of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period will will, by statute, o	TE OF THI 6(a). In no even Il apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the station to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 17 Fel	bruary 200	6.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	<u> </u>								
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-15,17-21,23 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-15,17-21,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		;	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 02/17/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 under 35 USC § 112 first paragraph has been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lennen (US 5,493,588).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrison et al. (US 5,752,218) in view of Lennen (US 5,493,588).
  - With regard claims 1 and 8, as shown in figures 1-6, Harrison et al. discloses a method comprising:

determining a code phase of each among a plurality of received signals, wherein said received signals are GPS (column 6, line 47-column 8, line 58); and transmitting a propagation time difference of received signals (column 7,

lines 16-30, column 8, line 24-column 10, line 34 and abstract).

Harrison et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals.

However, Lennen teaches a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals (column 6 lines 20-55 and equations 5, 9, 10, 19, 20, 24, 28, 33, 37, 44, 50, 56, 61, 64, 67, 68, 71, 74 and 75).

It is desirable to determine a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals in order to improve the code phase distance error (column 6 lines 25-31) so that the communication quality can be improved. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the method as taught by Lennen in which determine a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals, in addition to Harrisons' propagation time difference determination method so as to improve communication quality.

With regard claims 15, 21 and 23, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 1, as shown in figures 1-6, Harrison et al. discloses an apparatus further comprising:

a receiver (Figure 1 element 2) configured to receive a plurality of signals; a correlator (for example, Fig.1 element 8) configured to determine a code

phase for each among the plurality of received signals (column 6, lines 3-58, and column 6, line 47-column 8, line 58); and

With regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Harrison et al. further discloses wherein
 each among the plurality of received signals has a corresponding periodic code
 (Gold code, column 6, line 51-67), and

wherein each among the code phases relates to a predetermined position within the corresponding periodic code (column 6, line 51-58).

- With regarding claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 18 and 19, Harrison et al. further discloses wherein each among the plurality of received signals is based at least in part on a corresponding direct-sequence spread spectrum modulated signal (column 6, lines 3-13).
- With regard claims 6 and 13, Harrison et al. further discloses the method further comprising receiving a composite signal, wherein each among the plurality of received signals is based at least in part on at least a portion of the composite signal (column 6, lines 13-41).
- □ With regard claims 7, 14 and 20, Harrison et al. further discloses

wherein the determining a code phase of each among a plurality of received signals comprises calculating a correlation, for each among the plurality of received signals, between a corresponding code sequence and a signal based at least in part on the composite signal (column 6, lines 3-58, and column 6, line 47-column 8, line 58),

wherein each among the plurality of received signals has a corresponding

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631

Art Unit: 2611

periodic code (Gold code, column 6, line 51-67), and

wherein each among the code phases relates to a corresponding predetermined position within the corresponding periodic code, and

wherein the code sequence relates at least in part to the corresponding periodic code (Gold code, column 6, line 51-67).

With regard claim 24, Harrison et al. further discloses

a reference receiver (16) configured to receive signals from a plurality of space vehicles (12) and transmit information; and

a field receiver (14) configures to receive signals from a plurality of space vehicles (12) and to receive the information,

wherein the reference receiver determines a reference code phase for each among at least a first and a second one of the signals, and

wherein the field receiver determines a field code phase for the first one of the signals, and

wherein the filed receiver determines a field code phase for the second one of the signals at least in part from the information (column 8, line 65-column 10, line 34).

Harrison et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a time difference between the code phases of at least one pair among the plurality of received signals.

However, Lennen teaches wherein the information pertains at least to a time difference between the reference code phase for the first and the second

one of the signals (column 6 lines 20-55 and equations 5, 9, 10, 19, 20, 24, 28, 33, 37, 44, 50, 56, 61, 64, 67, 68, 71, 74 and 75).

It is desirable that the information pertains at least to a time difference between the reference code phase for the first and the second one of the signals in order to improve the code phase distance error (column 6 lines 25-31) so that the communication quality can be improved. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the method as taught by Lennen in which the information pertains at least to a time difference between the reference code phase for the first and the second one of the signals, in addition to Harrisons' propagation time difference determination method so as to improve communication quality.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,631

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2634

Ted M. Wang

KEVIN BURD
PRIMARY EXAMINER